UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,921	11/04/2005	Frederick Leslie Brown	CUNANT 1716US	4319
20210 DAVIS & BUJ	7590 08/04/200 OLD, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
112 PLEASAN	T STREET	WILLIAMS, MAURICE L		
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/555,921	BROWN, FREDERICK LESLIE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MAURICE WILLIAMS	3611		
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic:  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON To statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed o     Any This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[     Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in the closed i	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matt			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 13-16 and 20-27 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 13-16 and 20-27 is/are rejected 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to long accepted or b) objected to long objected to long accepted in abeyan correction is required if the drawing of	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-83) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/555,921 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 13 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims have been amended to include 'undrivable' rear ground engaging wheels; however, this element is not fully supported by the specification. Additionally, the wheels are considered to be drivable, as a motor or another drive means could easily be attached to each wheel.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/555,921

Art Unit: 3611

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 13-16, 20 and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Callan et al. (US 2003/0132038). Callan discloses:

fork lift truck (Fig. 4) comprising:

a truck body (11),

a lift mechanism (12) connected to the truck body by means of a vertically extending pivot (18) and means (17) for turning the lift mechanism relative to the truck body about said pivot,

a pair of undriven rear ground engaging wheels (24, 26; ¶ 0028, In. 10-14 state that the wheels may be undriven) mounted on transverse axes,

the lifting mechanism having a single ground engaging front wheel (23) mounted centrally on a transverse axis, wherein a sole drive means is only connected to the front wheel (¶ 0010, In. 7-9: when the rear wheels are not driven, the drive means is only connected to the front wheel)

The lifting mechanism is pivoted at an angle of substantially 90 degrees (Fig. 3).

The front wheel is positioned forwardly of the pivot connection (Fig. 4) and positioned as far forward as possible towards the load bearing part of the lifting mechanism.

The driven wheel is driven by an electric or hydraulic motor (¶ 0022, ln. 6), connected to the wheel (¶ 0022, ln. 4-7)

Art Unit: 3611

Power is provided to the motor by an internal combustion engine (¶ 0018, In. 13).

Callan also discloses an arm (Fig. 1 between front and rear portions) extending from the truck body (11) to pivot assembly (12), wherein the pivot assembly is coupled to a remote end of the arm (Fig. 1).

Callan also discloses a forklift only driven by a drive connectable to the front wheel (¶ 0022, In. 4-8 provides that separate motors may be disposed on the wheels. Therefore, when front wheel drive is engage, the single motor on the front wheel drives the vehicle.)

Alternatively, if there had been no mention of the rear wheels being possibly undriven, it would also have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to take away structure, rendering the elimination of a drive means to the rear wheels obvious.

6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callan in view of Hagin et al (US 4,778,020). Callan discloses as discussed above, but does not directly disclose a gearbox. Hagin discloses a gearbox (3) connecting the motor to the wheels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Callan as taught by Hagin in order to provide a well-known means of power transfer between the motor and wheel (¶ 0010 of Callan explains that the wheels can be driven by a differential unit).

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/13/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As noted above, there is not support in the specification for undrivable rear

Application/Control Number: 10/555,921 Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

wheels. The addition of this negative limitation was not sufficient to overcome the prior art.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAURICE WILLIAMS whose telephone number is (571)272-4263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 a.m. - 5 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/555,921 Page 6

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Maurice Williams/ Examiner, Art Unit 3611 Maurice Williams Examiner Art Unit 3611

MLW July 21, 2009

> /Lesley D. Morris/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3611